

ExQ2	Question to:	Question:	PD Teesport Response
COMPULSORY ACQUISITION AND TEMPORARY POSSESSION			
CA.2.9	Applicants PD Teesport CATS North Sea Limited	<p>PD Teesport [RR-033 and REP2-093] and CATS North Sea Limited [REP2-081, REP4-032] have raised the issue of a potential alternative to Plot 112. The Applicants provided a response relating to technical feasibility of the alternative plot [REP3-012, REP5-028].</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Can CATS North Sea Limited and/or PD Teesport provide comment on the Applicants' response [REP3-012 and REP5-028] and confirm if they are satisfied or have any further concerns or comments on this matter; ii) Can the Applicants, CATS North Sea Limited and/or PD Teesport confirm that if a sub-lease is agreed voluntarily, would the issue of a preferred alternative fall away?; and iii) Can the Applicants provide any further comments and reasoning for not pursuing the alternative suggested. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) No further comment from PD Teesport. The position remains that the Applicant should speak to CATS North Sea Limited directly regarding a private treaty. ii) Yes; should a sub-lease be agreed directly between the Applicant and CATS North Sea Limited, the issues of a preferred alternative would fall away. iii) No further comments.
SOCIO-ECONOMICS AND TOURISM INCLUDING MARINE USERS			
SET.2.2	Applicants PD Teesport	<p>The Applicants' response to ExQ1 SET.1.5 [REP2-016] refers to an intention to seek to agree that Navigational Risk Assessments undertaken are appropriate with each relevant authority via SoCGs. The response by PD Teesport to ExQ1 SET.1.5 [REP2-093] refers to raising the query with the Harbour Master.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Can PD Teesport provide an updated response to the question regarding whether the scope of the Navigational Risk Assessment is adequate and appropriate; and ii) Can PD Teesport and the Applicants confirm whether such matters will be included in the next version of the SoCG. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) PD Teesport can confirm that the scope of the Navigational Risk Assessment is adequate and appropriate. ii) PD Teesport can confirm that such matters will be included in the next version of the SoCG.